

# Magnetic Fields in Star-Forming Molecular Clouds I. The First Polarimetry of OMC-3 in Orion A

Brenda C. Matthews<sup>1</sup>

Christine D. Wilson<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The first polarimetric images of the OMC-3 region of the Orion A filamentary molecular cloud are presented. Using the new imaging polarimeter on SCUBA at the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope, we have detected polarized thermal emission at  $850\ \mu\text{m}$  from dust along a  $6'$  length of the dense filament. The polarization pattern is highly ordered and is aligned with the long axis of the filament throughout most of the region, diverging only near the southern boundary by  $30 - 50^\circ$ . If the polarization arises from thermal emission of dust grains aligned via either paramagnetic inclusions or radiative torques, this configuration indicates a plane-of-sky magnetic field which is normal to the filament along most of its length. The mean percentage polarization is  $4.2\%$  with a  $1\sigma$  dispersion of  $1\%$ . This region is part of the integral-shaped filament, and active star formation is ongoing along its length, with only two of nine dust condensations in our field lacking evidence of outflow activity. The outflow directions do not appear to be consistently correlated with the direction of the plane-of-sky field or the filament structure itself. Depolarization toward the filament center, previously detected in many other star-forming cores and protostars, is also evident in our data.

*Subject headings:* ISM: clouds, magnetic fields, molecules — polarization — stars: formation — submillimeter

## 1. Introduction

It is now well established that magnetic fields play a significant role in the evolution of molecular clouds and their associated star formation (see Heiles et al. 1993 and references

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics & Astronomy, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, L8S 4M1

therein). At a distance of 500 pc, the Orion complex is the closest star forming region that is undergoing massive star formation, and as such, has been the object of intense study. Many studies have focused on the region known as OMC-1, a massive cloud core which lies behind the Orion Nebula (M42). This core is embedded in the integral-shaped filament, identified in the  $^{13}\text{CO } J = 1 - 0$  transition by Bally et al. (1987) and most recently mapped at 850 and 450  $\mu\text{m}$  by Johnstone & Bally (1999). The OMC-3 region lies at the northern tip of the filament (Bally et al. 1987), near the HII region NGC 1977 (see Kutner, Evans & Tucker 1976). Molecular studies reveal the dust temperatures to be considerably cooler ( $T \sim 20\text{-}25$  K) in the OMC-3 region than in OMC-1 (Chini et al. 1997).

Dust condensations in OMC-3 were identified in 1.3 mm continuum by Chini et al. (1997). An evolutionary sequence has been suggested, with source ages declining as one moves north along the filament. Reipurth, Rodríguez & Chini (1999) have done a VLA search at 6 cm for compact sources in the region and report no sources coincident with the positions of MMS1 and MMS4, two of the most northern condensations identified by Chini et al. (1997). Additionally, there is no outflow associated with either source (Yu, Bally & Devine 1999; Chini et al. 1997; Castets & Langer 1995). These results suggest that these objects may in fact be in a pre-collapse phase, since outflows are known to be associated with the earliest phases of collapse (Shu, Adams & Lizano 1987).

Goodman et al. (1995) illustrated that measurements of polarization of background starlight in the optical and near-infrared are not effective tracers of magnetic field structure in dense molecular gas due to poor alignment and/or amorphous dust grain structure. At submillimeter and millimeter wavelengths, aligned, rotating grains produce polarized thermal emission. Draine & Weingartner (1996, 1997) have shown that radiative torques are highly effective at aligning grains of specific sizes ( $\sim 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ ). Lazarian, Goodman & Myers (1997) suggest that the effects of radiative torques could be comparable to paramagnetic inclusions (Purcell 1975, 1979; Spitzer & McGlynn 1979) at aligning grains in the star-forming interstellar medium. Both these mechanisms result in grains aligned with their long axes perpendicular to the magnetic field.

In this paper, we present 850  $\mu\text{m}$  imaging polarimetry of OMC-3. These data represent the first polarimetry of this active star-forming region and are also some of the first obtained with the new imaging polarimeter at the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT). This is the first publication from a project designed to study magnetic field structure in a variety of molecular clouds in different phases of star formation. In §2, we describe the polarimeter and the observing and reduction techniques; in §3, a mosaic of OMC-3 is presented and discussed; §4 summarizes the results thus far.

## 2. Observations and Data Reduction

The observations were taken from 1998 September 5 to 7 using the new imaging polarimeter on SCUBA (Submillimeter Common User Bolometric Array) at the JCMT. These nights were stable, with  $\tau(225\text{ GHz})$  ranging from 0.05 to 0.07 during the period of observations. Calibration of the polarizer was performed on 1998 September 5 using the Crab Nebula, for which percentage polarization  $p = 19.3 \pm 4\%$  and position angle  $\theta = 155 \pm 5^\circ$  were measured.

The polarimeter consists of a rotating quartz half-waveplate and a fixed analyzer which are used to measure linear polarization of thermal emission. The waveplate introduces a phase-lag of one half wavelength between the plane of polarization along the ‘fast axis’ and the orthogonal plane. Rotation of the plate changes the angle between the fast axis and the plane of the incoming source polarization, so a varying component of the polarized emission is retarded. The analyzer is a photo-lithographically etched grid of  $6\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  spacing which transmits only one plane of polarization (by absorbing photons with an **E**-component parallel to the wires) to SCUBA. The detector thus sees a modulated signal as the waveplate rotates. The variations are used to deduce the percentage polarization and polarization position angle of the source.

Seven pointing centers were observed along the OMC-3 filament, four the first night and only three (slightly shifted in position) on subsequent nights to provide better coverage of low signal-to-noise regions. Data for each pointing center were co-added to create seven maps of  $I$ ,  $Q = q/I$  and  $U = u/I$ , where  $I$ ,  $q$  and  $u$  are Stokes’ parameters. Each polarization cycle consists of 16 integrations at  $22.5^\circ$  rotation intervals (i.e. 4 independent measurements at each angle) in 8 minutes integration time. The brightest source, MMS6, was observed for only 6 cycles, or 48 minutes integration time. Observations centered on MMS1 and MMS4 required  $\sim 3$  hours integration time. The sensitivity of the SCUBA detector yielded polarization measurements on sources of  $0.5\text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$  at the  $6\sigma$  level for 4% polarized flux.

For each polarization cycle map, the standard preliminary reduction was done. This included subtraction of sky levels as well as instrumental polarization (IP) for each bolometer. Polarizations less than 1% are unreliable, since the IP has been found to vary by  $\pm 0.5\%$  for about 30% of the bolometers. The average IP for all off-axis bolometers is  $0.88 \pm 0.06\%$  @  $166 \pm 2^\circ$  while the IP of the central bolometer is  $1.08 \pm 0.10\%$  @  $158 \pm 3^\circ$ . After correction for source rotation across the array, the Stokes’ parameters were calculated by comparing measurements offset by  $45^\circ$  in waveplate rotation ( $90^\circ$  on the sky). The  $I$ ,  $Q$  and  $U$  maps for each pointing center were averaged, and standard deviations were derived by comparing the individual data sets. The maps were then binned spatially by a factor of

two to yield  $6''$  (approximately half-beamwidth) sampling.

At this point, it was necessary to diverge from the standard reduction technique to make mosaics of the  $I$ ,  $Q$  and  $U$  maps before calculating the percentage polarization  $p$  and position angle  $\theta$ . Mosaicing was done using the MAKEMOS tool in Starlink’s CCDPACK (a UK based software package). Variances were used to weight the overlapping data values, and variances were also generated for the map. The calculation of  $p$  (and its uncertainty) is given by:

$$p = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}; \quad dp = p^{-1} \sqrt{dQ^2 Q^2 + dU^2 U^2}. \quad (1)$$

A bias exists which tends to increase the  $p$  value, even when  $Q$  and  $U$  are consistent with  $p = 0$  because  $p$  is forced to be positive. The polarization percentages were debiased according to the expression:

$$p_{db} = \sqrt{p^2 - dp^2}. \quad (2)$$

The position angle can then be calculated by the following relations:

$$\theta = 0.5 \arctan(U/Q); \quad d\theta = 28.6^\circ / \sigma_p \quad (3)$$

where  $\sigma_p$  is the ratio  $p_{db}/dp$ .

The  $p_{db}$  values were then thresholded such that  $p_{db} \leq 100\%$  and  $\sigma_p \geq 2.5$ . The position angles can of course take on any value, but we note that offsets of  $180^\circ$  cannot be distinguished in linear polarization.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. The Polarization Pattern

Figure 1 shows the OMC-3 filament in  $850 \mu\text{m}$  continuum (colored greyscale) overlaid with polarization vectors. Only vectors up to 20% are plotted on the figure; 27 vectors in total were omitted. The blue contours indicate where  $\sigma_p = 6$  and  $\sigma_p = 10$ . By equation (3), the vectors enclosed by these contours have  $d\theta \leq 5^\circ$  and  $d\theta \leq 3^\circ$ , respectively. No vectors have  $\sigma_p < 2.5$ , so no plotted vector has  $d\theta > 12^\circ$ .

The polarization vectors are well-ordered along the filament with the best alignment in the northern region between the sources MMS1 and MMS6 (as identified by Chini et al. 1997, see Figure 1). Since the vectors show a high degree of ordering, it is tempting to think that they are uniform across the field. However, extraction of data subsets centered

on each of the four “regions” indicated in Figure 1 illustrates that this is not the case. The distribution of  $\theta$  in each region is fit by either 1 or 2 Gaussians by minimizing chi-squared. The position angle (mean and dispersion) of each of these Gaussians is noted on the figure, as well as the reduced chi-squared of each fit.

The dispersions in  $\theta$  are relatively narrow, when compared with the sample presented in Myers & Goodman (1991) for 26 dark cloud regions. If a single gaussian is fit to every subregions’ distribution (regardless of the goodness-of-fit), it is found that Regions A through D have dispersions of 8, 8, 9 and  $12^\circ$  respectively. Such low dispersions were identified only for dark clouds without clusters, i.e. less than 15 associated stars in  $1 \text{ pc}^2$  (Myers & Goodman 1991), yet OMC-3 has condensation density of  $135 \text{ pc}^{-2}$  (taking 9 sources in a  $6' \times 30''$  area). According to the Myers & Goodman model of uniform and non-uniform field components, this result implies either a low ratio of non-uniform to plane-of-sky uniform components of the magnetic field, or a low number of magnetic field correlation lengths along the line of sight. To implement their analysis fully will require measurement of the line-of-sight component of the magnetic field toward several positions in OMC-3.

It is interesting to compare the changes in the position angle of the filament on the sky with the change in orientation of the polarization vectors. The filament’s orientation can be traced easily due to the positions of the condensations themselves, which without exception are embedded within it. Measuring an angle E of N, three main segments of OMC-3 can be distinguished. From MMS1 to MMS6, the filament is at an angle of  $\sim 130^\circ$  ( $-50^\circ$ ); this area is covered by Regions A and B as denoted on Figure 1. These histograms reveal that the peaks fit to these distributions agree with the position angle of the filament to within  $10^\circ$ . The situation is similar for Region C, which contains MMS7, the only IRAS source in OMC-3 (05329–0505). The angle of the filament steepens from MMS6 to MMS7 to  $\sim 160^\circ$  ( $-20^\circ$ ). The distribution of  $\theta$  exhibits two peaks in position angle. The strongest peak is in fact at  $-19 \pm 7^\circ$  which indicates excellent alignment with the filament. (The uncertainty represents the  $1\sigma$  dispersion in the distribution.) However, a second peak exists at  $-36 \pm 5^\circ$ . Finally, from MMS7 through MMS9, the filament aligns north-south (position angle  $0^\circ$ ). None of the polarization vectors exhibit such an angle; instead, the distribution is double peaked at  $-33 \pm 5^\circ$  and  $-47 \pm 15^\circ$ . Since the maximum uncertainty associated with any value of  $\theta$  is  $12^\circ$ , Region D is the only one where no alignment exists between the filament and the polarization pattern.

In short, the polarization, and hence inferred field direction (in the plane of the sky),  $B_\perp$ , changes as one moves along OMC-3.  $B_\perp$  is predominantly perpendicular to the filament along most of its length, diverging by  $30 - 50^\circ$  from the filament only in the southernmost

part of OMC-3. These results are roughly consistent with the work of Schleuning (1998), which also established  $B_{\perp}$  perpendicular to the filament direction in OMC-1. The field direction does not appear to be affected by the presence of the dust condensations, but rather is aligned with the structure of the filament itself. At a resolution of  $15''$  (7500 A.U.), these data simply may not have enough resolution to detect the details of fields associated with the starless cores or protostellar envelopes and their associated outflows.

### 3.2. The Influence of Outflows?

Many previous works have noted that the inferred  $B_{\perp}$  field direction from long wavelength polarization is oriented either parallel (e.g. IRAS 16293, Tamura et al. 1993; NGC 1333, Minchin, Sandell & Murray 1995; Tamura, Hough & Hayashi 1995) or perpendicular (e.g. VLA 1623, Holland et al. 1996) to the observed protostellar outflow direction. The relative orientations of the outflows in OMC-3 are illustrated by the green lines on Figure 1, as measured by Chini et al. (1997) and Yu, Bally & Devine (1997) using  $^{12}\text{CO } J = 2 - 1$  and  $\text{H}_2$  shocks, respectively, to identify outflow signatures. With the exception of MMS6, the outflows are aligned E-W. Hence, in Regions A-C, the outflows are perpendicular neither to the filament nor the  $B_{\perp}$ -field. In Region D, they are aligned perpendicular to the filament, but are offset from  $B_{\perp}$  by  $\sim 30 - 50^\circ$ ; however, it is possible that we may be detecting a superposition of the magnetic fields of the filament and the outflow(s). Reipurth, Rodríguez & Chini (1999) suggest that MMS9 is in fact the driving source for the most powerful outflow in the OMC-3 region. If the evolutionary sequence proposed by Chini et al. (1997) is correct, then MMS9’s outflow may have had sufficient time to alter the magnetic field in its vicinity.

These results suggest that the field of the filament alone does not determine the outflow direction. Thus, there must be other relevant factors which determine the structure of a protostellar system. Were these data interpreted as a uniform field along which material had collapsed, one would naively expect protostellar disks to be aligned parallel to the filament, and outflows perpendicular to it but aligned with the ambient field; however, this is not observed.

### 3.3. Percentage Polarization

The distribution of  $p_{db}$  along the filament exhibits a mean value of 4.2%, with a  $1\sigma$  dispersion of 1%. Values up to 100% are allowed, but only those  $< 20\%$  (i.e. all but 27)

are plotted on Figure 1 since larger values are unlikely to be physical. The rms  $dp$  and  $\sigma_p$  values of unplotted data are 15% and 2.9, compared to 2.5% and 7.3 for all values of  $p$  with  $\sigma_p > 2.5$ . Polarizations up to 11.9% have been detected with  $\sigma_p \sim 7$ .

Several authors have discussed whether observations of decreased polarization percentage toward regions of higher flux are due to changes in physical conditions or averaging of small scale variations in a large beam. Figure 2 shows the percentage polarization along a cut perpendicular to the filament through MMS4 (indicated by the red cross in Figure 1, which has the highest  $\sigma_p$  in our data set. Although the uncertainties are increasing toward the edge of the filament, the data show a clear trend of decreasing polarization percentage toward the filament’s center. These changes could be due to changes in either grain properties or field strength, but they have also been suggested as an observable signature of helical fields (Fiege & Pudritz 1999). The interpretation of this depolarization effect will be discussed more fully in a forthcoming paper.

#### 4. Summary

Submillimeter wavelength polarimetry with the JCMT has revealed a highly ordered polarization pattern along the filament known as OMC-3. These data indicate that  $B_\perp$  is perpendicular to the filament along most of its length, diverging only in the most southern regions by between  $30 - 50^\circ$ . The outflows which have been observed in OMC-3 are aligned with neither the ambient field nor the filament in any consistent way. The field of the filament is thus unlikely to be the dominant factor in determining the configuration of the protostellar systems embedded within it. The mean percentage polarization is 4.2%, with a  $1\sigma$  dispersion of 1%. Values as high as 11.9% have been measured with  $\sigma_p \sim 7$ . A depolarization effect is measured toward the denser parts of the filament.

The authors would like to thank J. Greaves, T. Jenness, G. Moriarty-Schieven and A. Chrysostomou at the JCMT for their assistance with problems both large and small during and especially after observing, and the referee for an insightful and thorough review. BCM would like to thank J. Fiege for many constructive conversations and MATLAB expertise. The research of BCM and CDW is supported through grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The JCMT is operated by the Joint Astronomy Centre on behalf of the Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council of the UK, the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research, and the National Research Council of Canada.

## REFERENCES

- Bally, J., Langer, W.D., Stark, A.A., & Wilson, R.W. 1987, ApJ, 313, L45
- Castets, A., & Langer, W.D. 1995, A&A, 294, 835
- Chandrasekhar, S. & Fermi, E. 1953, ApJ, 118, 113
- Chini, R., Reipurth, B., Ward-Thompson, D., Bally, J., Nyman, L.-Å., Sievers, A., & Billawala, Y. 1997, ApJ, 474, L135
- Draine, B.T., & Weingartner, J.C. 1996, ApJ, 470, 551
- Draine, B.T., & Weingartner, J.C. 1997, ApJ, 480, 633
- Fiege, J., & Pudritz, R. 1999, MNRAS, in press
- Goodman, A.A., Jones, T.J., Lada, E.A., & Myers, P.C. 1995, ApJ, 448, 748
- Heiles, C., Goodman, A.A., McKee, C.F., & Zweibel, E.G. 1993, in *Protostars & Planets III*, ed. E.H. Levy and J.I. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press), 279
- Holland, W., Greaves, J., Ward-Thompson, D., & André, P. 1996, A&A, 309, 267
- Johnstone, D., & Bally, J. 1999, ApJ, 510, L49
- Kutner, M.L., Evans, N.J.II., & Tucker, K.D. 1976, ApJ, 209, 452
- Lazarian, A., Goodman, A.A., & Myers, P.C. 1997, ApJ, 490, 273
- Minchin, N., Sandell, G., & Murray, A. 1995, A&A, 293, L61
- Myers, P.C., & Goodman, A.A. 1991, ApJ, 373, 509
- Purcell, E.M. 1975 in *Dusty Universe*, ed. G.B. Field & A.G.W. Cameron (New York: Neal Watson), 155
- Purcell, E.M. 1979, ApJ, 231, 404
- Reipurth, B., Rodríguez, L.F., & Chini, R. 1999, AJ, 118, 983
- Schleuning, D.A. 1998, ApJ, 493, 811
- Shu, F.H., Adams, F.C., & Lizano, S. 1987, ARAA, 25, 23
- Spitzer, L., Jr., & McGlynn, T.A. 1979, ApJ, 231, 417
- Tamura, M., Hough, J., & Hayashi, S. 1995, ApJ, 448, 346
- Tamura, M., Hayashi, S., Yamashita, T., Duncan, W., & Hough, J. 1993, ApJ, 404, 21
- Yu, K.C., Bally, J., & Devine, D. 1997, ApJ, 485, L45



Fig. 1.— 850  $\mu\text{m}$  polarized emission along OMC-3. The coordinates are arcminute offsets from the position of MMS6,  $\alpha_{1950} = 5^{\text{h}}32^{\text{m}}55.6^{\text{s}}$  and  $\delta_{1950} = -5^{\circ}03' 25''$ . Color greyscale indicates variations in uncalibrated I, with a range of  $-2$  to  $3 \sigma$ . Polarization vectors (to a maximum of 20%) are overlain. All vectors shown have  $\sigma_p > 2.5$ . Blue contours indicate bounds of  $\sigma_p = 6$  and  $\sigma_p = 10$  respectively. These bounds also indicate where  $d\theta < 5^{\circ}$  and  $d\theta < 3^{\circ}$  respectively. The condensations of Chini et al. (1997) are labelled MMS1 through MMS9, and outflow orientations are shown in green. The red cross shows the center of the cut shown in Figure 2. Subregions, labelled A through D, are identified, and the distributions of position angle for each are shown in the histograms. Position angles are grouped to  $6^{\circ}$  bin widths. To test the form of the distributions, binning was also done with widths of  $3^{\circ}$  and  $12^{\circ}$ . The only cases in which the distributions were not consistent were Regions A and D with a  $12^{\circ}$  bin width. In these cases, the double Gaussian profile did not produce a fit to the data. Nevertheless, all single Gaussian fits for these two regions produced reduced chi-squared values  $> 1$ ; hence, single Gaussians are poor fits to these distributions. The parameters of the fits are noted on each figure, as well as the reduced chi-square values. The dashed lines represent the mean position angle of the *filament* in each region.

Fig. 2.— Variation in  $p_{db}$  along a line perpendicular to the filament. These data are centered on  $\alpha_{1950} = 5^{\text{h}}32^{\text{m}}52.5^{\text{s}}$  and  $\delta_{1950} = -5^{\circ}02' 46''$ , the position of the source MMS4 identified by Chini et al. (1997) and identified by the red cross in Figure 1. The cut is centered on MMS4, and  $\Delta d$  is the offset perpendicular to the filament. The plot clearly shows a reduction in polarization toward the center of the filament. The error bars represent the uncertainty associated with each interpolated data point. The depolarization effect cannot be accounted for merely by the increasing noise in regions of low signal. Only data points with  $\sigma_p > 2.5$  were used.